

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,232	03/23/2004	Bernd Bartenbach	54395	9664
7590 08/09/2007 Herbert B. Keil KEIL & WEINKAUF 1350 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20036			EXAMINER	
			BOYER, RANDY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
washington, 2 o 20000			1764	•
				···
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Application No.				
Notice of Abandonment	10/806,232	BARTENBACH ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Randy Boyer	1764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of New period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does 	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	· ·•			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		empt at a proper reply, to the non-			
(d) No reply has been received.					
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8) (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). s received on (with a Certific	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated			
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	uired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the Notice of			
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) \(\sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.					
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire interest, or all of			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	n attorney or agent (acting in a repres	sentative capacity under 37 CFR			
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		se the period for seeking court review			
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:					
See Continuation Sheet					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	aw the holding of abandonment under 37	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment:

Examiner notes that the Office Action mailed 9 January 2007 was returned to the PTO as undelivered on 18 January 2007. Examiner placed a phone call on 13 July 2007 to the correspondence number listed in the PALM system for this application to inform Applicant's representative of the undelivered Office Action. At that time, Examiner was informed by the firm's docket clerk that the firm previously handling prosecution of this application had been bought out, and that the previous firm ("Keil & Weinkauf," still listed in the PALM system as the primary correspondent) was no longer in operation. Examiner reminded the docket clerk of the (new) firm's obligation for submitting a change of address relating to any applications previously handled by Keil & Weinkauf and now being handled by the new firm. Examiner notes that as of 4 August 2007, the correspondence address had not been changed.

Glenn Caidarola Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700